## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Derrell F. Gaskins,	)	C/A No.	4:13-cv-2730-RBH-KDW
Plaintiff,	)		
v.	)		ORDER
Florence County Sheriff's Office and Florence County,	)		
Defendants.	) )		

Plaintiff, represented by counsel, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging that Defendants violated his constitutional rights; he also alleges malicious prosecution. Compl., ECF No. 1. On October 7, 2013, the same day Plaintiff filed his Complaint, the Clerk of Court issued Summonses for Plaintiff's use in serving Defendants with his Complaint. ECF No. 4. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) provides that a complaint must be served on a defendant within 120 days of the filing of the complaint. If service is not effected within that 120-day period, "the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that [non-served] defendant or order that service be made within a specified time." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Rule 4(m) also instructs the court that it must extend the time of service for "an appropriate period" if plaintiff "shows good cause for the failure [to serve.]" *Id*.

Plaintiff's 120-day deadline for serving his Complaint on Defendants expired on February 4, 2014. Plaintiff had provided the court with no proof-of-service information by that time. On February 19, 2014, the court issued a Text Order noting Plaintiff had not provided proof of service of the Complaint as to any Defendant. ECF No. 5. The court's Text Order

4:13-cv-02730-RBH Date Filed 03/06/14 Entry Number 8 Page 2 of 2

instructed Plaintiff to provide proof of service no later than March 5, 2014 if he wished to pursue

this action. Id.

To date, Plaintiff has provided no proof-of-service information to the court, nor has he

otherwise contacted the court regarding this matter. Accordingly, it appears Plaintiff no longer

wishes to prosecute this action. If Plaintiff wishes to pursue this action, he must provide proof of

service on Defendants no later than March 19, 2014. Otherwise, this action will be

recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Davis v. Williams, 588

F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

March 6, 2014

Florence, South Carolina

Kaymani D. West

Haymai D. Hes

United States Magistrate Judge